



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/412,106	10/04/1999	CAROLINE NAN KKOFF	10980065-1	9230
7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT PA	ACKARD COMPANY	KNEPPER, DAVID D		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY ADMIN	ISTRATION		
3404 E HARM(ONY ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 2724	00		2654	
FORT COLLIN	S CO 80528-9599			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/412,106	KKOFF ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
David D. Knepper	2654

	David D. Knepper	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	· <u></u>	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	_	• • •				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10.	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	. 1			
13. Other:	\mathcal{L}	and I'M	M			
		David D. Knepper Primary Examiner				

Art Unit: 2654

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it interprets the claim language improperly and does not address the teachings of the prior art in view of the claims. The claims explicitly require that any generated or saved text must be "Java based". The Resource Bundles of Akerley are extremently flexible when combined with various programming tools, enabling a programmer to list and modify the data structure and textual content of various files used to interpret external inputs (see pages 306-317). Interpreting the claim language as creating a data file that cannot be used or even equated with the files used by the Java based system of Akerley, would render the claims inoperative if given weight because the preamble requires "Java-based applications". Thus, the claims as currently written, are broad enough to cover any text or stored resources for Java. The only particular "applications" mentioned in the claims are for "internationalization" (i.e. - claim 4) and "determining whether the current locale language is a default language" (i.e. - claim 5) which are clearly performed by the default of English and the other languages such as French and Italian examples of Akerley (page 307).